

The Hamiltonian of Asymptotically Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker Spacetimes

N. Pinto-Neto ^{*†} and P. I. Trajtenberg^{*}

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We obtain the correct hamiltonian which describes the dynamics of classes of asymptotic open Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetimes, which includes Tolman geometries. We calculate the surface term that has to be added to the usual hamiltonian of General Relativity in order to obtain an improved hamiltonian with well defined functional derivatives. For asymptotic flat FLRW spaces, this surface term is zero, but for asymptotic negative curvature FLRW spaces it is not null in general. In the particular case of the Tolman geometries, they vanish. The surface term evaluated on a particular solution of Einstein's equations may be viewed as the “energy” of this solution with respect to the FLRW spacetime they approach asymptotically. Our results are obtained for a matter content described by a dust fluid, but they are valid for any perfect fluid, including the cosmological constant.

PACS number(s): 04.20.Cv., 04.20.Me

Key words: hamiltonian formalism; open spaces; asymptotically Friedmann.

^{*}Laboratório de Cosmologia e Física Experimental de Altas Energias, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca, Rio de Janeiro CEP 22290-180-RJ, Brazil.

[†]e-mail address: nelsonpn@cbpf.br

1 Introduction

The hamiltonian formulation of General Relativity (GR) is known to have very different properties depending whether it is realized in closed spaces or in open spaces. For closed spaces, the hamiltonian of GR can be written in terms of a single general expression consisting of a volume integral in a spacelike hypersurface whose integrand is a hamiltonian density which is a combination of constraints [1]. For open spaces, however, it was long ago recognized that the hamiltonian of GR must be supplemented by a surface term [1]. In Ref.[2], it was shown that such surface terms are in fact necessary if one wants to construct a consistent hamiltonian of GR in open spaces, with well defined functional derivatives. The specific form of the surface term depends on the asymptotic structure of such spaces. In this way, the surface term of Ref.[1] was obtained in Ref.[2] from a consistency criterium applied to asymptotically flat spacetimes. This procedure was then used in asymptotically anti-de Sitter (ADS) spacetimes [3] in order to obtain the correct hamiltonian of such spaces.

The evaluation of the total hamiltonian of GR on shell can be viewed as the total “energy” of specific gravitational fields solving the Einstein’s equations. For closed spaces this value is zero (any solution of Einstein’s equations must, of course, satisfy the constraints). For open spaces with asymptotically flat and ADS structures, this value is given by the surface term evaluated on shell, which is not zero. The results are in agreement with other prescriptions to evaluate the total energy of these gravitational fields [4, 5] (see however [6]).

The aim of this paper is to apply the procedure described in Refs.[2, 3] to more involved asymptotic structures. As we live in a Universe very well described by Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetimes, we will study the hamiltonian formulation of asymptotically FLRW spacetimes. The matter content will be described by a dust fluid, but, as we will see, the results we obtain are valid for any perfect fluid, including the cosmological constant. The asymptotic structure of such spaces was obtained as follows: we started with Tolman geometries which are asymptotically FLRW spaces, and we act on them with the group of isometries of FLRW spaces in all possible ways, obtaining a class of asymptotic FLRW geometries which contains Tolman geometries and which are invariant under the action of the Killing vectors of FLRW spaces at infinity. This procedure yields the asymptotic structure of the 3-geometries and their momenta. The asymptotic behaviors of the lapse and shift functions are obtained through the requirements that the hamiltonian dynamics preserves the asymptotic structure of the spatial geometries, and that the fluid 4-velocities be normal to the spacelike hypersurfaces which foliate spacetime. Of course, this is not the most general class of asymptotic FLRW spaces, but it contains a wide variety of physically interesting solutions such as Tolman geometries.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we review the main general aspects of the hamiltonian formulation of GR for open spaces. In section III we describe in details the construction of the class of asymptotic FLRW spaces we will work with. In section IV we arrive at the correct hamiltonian

which describe the dynamics of such solutions. We end up with the conclusions.

2 The Hamiltonian of General Relativity For Open Spaces

The hamiltonian of General Relativity for closed geometries and a dust field is given by

$$H = \int N_\mu \mathcal{H}^\mu d^3x \quad (1)$$

where $N_\mu = (N, N_i)$, N and N^i are the lapse and shift functions, respectively, and $\mathcal{H}^\mu$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^0 &= 16\pi G_{abcd}\pi^{ab}\pi^{cd} - \frac{\sqrt{g}R}{16\pi} + (m^2 + \chi_{,i}\chi^{,i})^{1/2}\pi_\chi, \\ \mathcal{H}^i &= -2\pi_{||k}^{ik} + \pi_\chi\chi^{,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with $G_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2}g^{-1/2}[g_{ac}g_{bd} + g_{ad}g_{bc} - g_{ab}g_{cd}]$. In the above expressions g_{ik} is the metric, g its determinant, and R the scalar curvature of spacelike hypersurfaces. The canonical gravitational momentum is given by π^{ik} , the double bar represents the covariant derivative with respect to g_{ik} , and χ is the dust field defining the velocity field of the dust particles of mass m ,

$$u^\mu = -\frac{{}^4g^{\mu\nu}\chi_{,\nu}}{m}. \quad (3)$$

where ${}^4g^{\mu\nu}$ is the inverse of the 4 - dimensional metric ${}^4g_{\mu\nu}$. The quantity π_χ is the canonical momentum of χ , which is connected to the number density of dust particles n through

$$\pi_\chi = ng^{1/2}\left(1 + \frac{\chi_{,i}\chi^{,i}}{m^2}\right). \quad (4)$$

We are using geometrical units where $G = c = 1$.

When one varies H with respect to g_{ik} and π^{ik} , χ and π_χ one obtains:

$$\delta H = \int d^3x [A^{ik}\delta g_{ik} + B\delta\chi + C_{ik}\delta\pi^{ik} + D\delta\pi_\chi] \quad (5)$$

whose coefficients A^{ik} , B , C^{ik} , D , when inserted into the equations $\dot{\pi}^{ik} = -A^{ik}$, $\dot{g}_{ik} = C_{ik}$, $\dot{\pi}_\chi = -B$, $\dot{\chi} = D$ yield, together with the constraints $\mathcal{H} \approx 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^i \approx 0$, the full Einstein's equations for a general 4-geometry with a dust field. However, when the spacelike hypersurfaces are open, the variation of H gives,

together with (5), the surface terms

$$\begin{aligned}
-S_T = & -\frac{1}{16\pi} \oint_B d^2 S_l G^{ijkl} (N \delta g_{ij||k} - N_{,k} \delta g_{ij}) \\
& - \oint_B d^2 S_l [2N_k \delta \pi^{kl} + (2N^k \pi^{jl} - N^l \pi^{jk}) \delta g_{jk}] \\
& + \oint_B d^2 S_l N \pi_\chi \chi^{,l} \delta \chi (m^2 + \chi_{,i} \chi^{,i})^{-1/2} \\
& + \oint_B d^2 S_l N^l \pi_\chi \delta \chi,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $d^2 S_l = \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon_{ljk} dx^j \wedge dx^k$, ϵ_{ljk} being the 3-dimensional metric independent totally antisymmetric object, B is a 2-sphere at infinity, $G^{ijkl} = 1/2 g^{1/2} (g^{ik} g^{jl} + g^{il} g^{jk} - 2g^{ij} g^{kl})$, and g^{ik} is the inverse of g_{ik} .

If the surface term (6) does not vanish asymptotically, the functional derivatives of the hamiltonian cannot be defined due to the presence of them in the expression of δH . The usual remedy to this problem is to evaluate which surface terms in (6) survive for specific asymptotic structures, and add to the hamiltonian (1) a suitable surface term such that, for variations respecting the asymptotic structure of the field, the variation of the total hamiltonian $H_T = H + E$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta H_T = \delta(H + E) &= \delta H + \delta E = \\
&= \int d^3 x [A^{ik} \delta g_{ik} + B \delta \chi + C_{ik} \delta \pi^{ik} + D \delta \pi_\chi] - S_T + S_T
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where E is constructed in such a way that $\delta E = S_T$. As a consequence, the new hamiltonian H_T has now well defined variations yielding the correct Einstein's equations. This procedure has been successfully applied to asymptotically flat and anti-de Sitter spaces [2, 3]. We will now investigate if this procedure can be done for asymptotically FLRW spacetime.

3 Two Classes of Asymptotically FLRW Spacetime

Our starting point is the class of Tolman metrics

$$ds^2 = (1 - kr^2)^{-1} R'^2 dr^2 + R^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) - dt^2, \tag{8}$$

where r, θ, ϕ are comoving coordinates with the spherically symmetric fluid in the model, $R(r, t) \geq 0$, with $R(0, t) = 0$, $r \geq 0$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, a prime denotes $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, and $k = 0, -1$, as there are no open models with $k = 1$.

If the line element (8) represents asymptotically FLRW spacetimes then, asymptotically, one should have

$$R(r, t) = ra(t) \left[1 + \frac{f(t)}{r} + \frac{g(t)}{r^2} + \dots \right] \tag{9}$$

which implies that

$$R'(r, t) = a(t) \left[1 - \frac{g(t)}{r^2} + \dots \right] \tag{10}$$

Hence,

$$g_{22} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{22} \left[1 + \frac{2f}{r} + \frac{(f^2 + 2g)}{r^2} + \dots \right] \quad (11)$$

$$g_{11} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{11} \left[1 - \frac{2g}{r^2} + \dots \right], \quad (12)$$

and $g_{33} = \sin^2 \theta g_{22}$, where $\overset{\circ}{g}_{ik}$ represents the background spacelike metric of the FLRW spacetime at infinity, and we define $h_{ik} \equiv g_{ik} - \overset{\circ}{g}_{ik}$.

For the momenta,

$$\pi^{ik} = -g^{1/2} (K^{ik} - g^{ik} K), \quad (13)$$

where

$$K_{ik} = -\frac{1}{2N} (\dot{g}_{ik} - N_{i||k} - N_{k||i}), \quad (14)$$

and $K = g_{ik} K^{ik}$. According to the above expressions one has,

$$\pi^{ik} = \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{il} \left[\delta_l^k + \frac{b_l^k(t)}{r} + \dots \right] \quad (15)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\pi}^{ik}$ are the background momenta given by

$$\overset{\circ}{\pi}^{ik} = -2r^2 \sin \theta \dot{a} a^2 \overset{\circ}{g}^{ik}. \quad (16)$$

There is a necessary contribution to the $1/r$ dependence in (15) coming from the term $g^{1/2} \simeq \overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2} [1 + \overset{\circ}{g}^{ik} h_{ik} + \dots]$. The precise form of $b_l^k(t)$ in terms of $g(t)$ and $f(t)$ is not important for what follows.

For the dust field, as the velocity field of the background is $\overset{\circ}{u}^\mu = \delta_0^\mu$ then, asymptotically, $\chi \simeq mt[1 + s(t)/r]$, $n \simeq \overset{\circ}{n}(t)[1 + q(t)/r]$, and, from (3) and (4), one obtains

$$\pi_\chi = \overset{\circ}{\pi}_\chi(t) \left[1 + \frac{p(t)}{r} + \dots \right]. \quad (17)$$

To obtain the classes of asymptotically geometries which contain (8) and which are invariant under the action of the isometries of FLRW spacetimes at infinity, one must take the asymptotic deviations of the metric (8), the field χ and their momenta with respect to the reference FLRW space,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ik} &= g_{ik} - \overset{\circ}{g}_{ik} & q &= \chi - \overset{\circ}{\chi} \\ p^{ik} &= \pi^{ik} - \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{ik} & p_\chi &= \pi_\chi - \overset{\circ}{\pi}_\chi, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and act on them with the Killing vectors of FLRW spaces. The Killing vectors of the homogeneous and isotropic hypersurfaces of asymptotic FLRW spacetimes read

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\zeta}_{(12)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\ \vec{\zeta}_{(23)} &= -\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\ \vec{\zeta}_{(31)} &= \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\zeta}_{(14)} &= (1 - kr^2)^{1/2} \sin\theta \cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{(1 - kr^2)^{1/2}}{r} \cos\theta \cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{(1 - kr^2)^{1/2}}{r} \frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\
\vec{\zeta}_{(24)} &= (1 - kr^2)^{1/2} \sin\theta \sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{(1 - kr^2)^{1/2}}{r} \cos\theta \sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{(1 - kr^2)^{1/2}}{r} \frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\
\vec{\zeta}_{(34)} &= (1 - kr^2)^{1/2} \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{(1 - kr^2)^{1/2}}{r} \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The Killing vectors generators of rotations are written in (19), while the generators of “translations” are written in (20).

The asymptotic structures of these classes and the relevant surface terms in (6) will depend on the value of k , yielding two distinct classes of asymptotic behavior, which will be treated separately.

3.1 The $k = 0$ case

The background phase space variables are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\circ}{g}_{11} &= a^2(t); & \overset{\circ}{g}_{22} &= a^2(t)r^2; & \overset{\circ}{g}_{33} &= \sin^2\theta \overset{\circ}{g}_{22} \\
\overset{\circ}{\pi}^{11} &= -2r^2 \sin\theta \dot{a}(t); & \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{22} &= -2 \sin\theta \dot{a}(t); & \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{33} &= \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{22} \sin^{-2}\theta \\
\overset{\circ}{\chi} &= mt; & \overset{\circ}{\pi}_\chi &= na^3 r^2 \sin\theta
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The asymptotic deviations of (8) from this background behaviour read

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11} &\sim -2a^2(t)g(t)r^{-2}; & h_{22} &\sim a^2(t)\{2r(t)f(t) + [f^2(t) + 2g(t)]\}; \\
p^{11} &\sim n_1(t)r; & p^{22} &\sim n_2(t)r^{-1}; \\
h_{33} &= \sin^2\theta h_{22}; & p^{33} &= p^{22} \sin^{-2}\theta; \\
q &\sim n_3(t)r^{-1}; & p_\chi &\sim n_4(t)r,
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where the $n_i(t)$ are functions of time depending on the particular Tolman solution we take.

Acting on these deviations with the Killing vectors of the $k = 0$ FLRW

spacetimes written in (19) and (20), we obtain the general asymptotic behaviors:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11} &\sim r^{-2}l_{11}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-3}) \\
h_{22} &\sim rl_{22}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^0) \\
h_{33} &\sim rl_{33}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^0) \\
h_{12} &\sim r^{-1}l_{12}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
h_{13} &\sim r^{-1}l_{13}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
h_{23} &\sim r^{-1}l_{23}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{11} &\sim rM^{11}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^0) \\
p^{22} &\sim r^{-1}M^{22}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{33} &\sim r^{-1}M^{33}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{12} &\sim r^{-1}M^{12}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{13} &\sim r^{-1}M^{13}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{23} &\sim r^{-1}M^{23}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p_\chi &\sim rM_\chi(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^0) \\
q &\sim r^{-1}h_\chi(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2})
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The asymptotic behavior of the lapse function can be evaluated by demanding that the dynamics do not spoil the above relations. The dynamics yields,

$$\dot{g}_{ik} = 2Ng^{-1/2} \left[\pi_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}\pi \right] + N_{i||k} + N_{k||i} \tag{24}$$

Evaluating it for $i = k = 1$, we find that $\delta N \sim O(r^{-2})$ for $\dot{g}_{11} \sim O(r^{-2})$ as g_{11} .

For the shift functions, if one demands that the asymptotic hypersurfaces be orthogonal to the fluid 4-velocity, then the hypersurface normal vector $\eta^\mu = N^{-1}(1, N^i) \sim u^\mu = -g^{\mu\nu}\chi_{,\nu}/m$ which implies from (18), (21) and (22) that $N^1 \sim O(r^{-2})$, and $N^2 \sim N^3 \sim O(r^{-3})$.

3.2 The $k = -1$ case

The background phase space variables are now given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\circ}{g}_{11} &= a^2(t)(1+r^2)^{-1}; & \overset{\circ}{g}_{22} &= a^2(t)r^2; \\
\overset{\circ}{\pi}^{11} &= -2r^2(1+r^2)^{1/2}\sin\theta\dot{a}(t); & \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{22} &= -2\sin\theta\dot{a}(t)(1+r^2)^{-1/2}; \\
\overset{\circ}{g}_{33} &= \sin^2\theta\overset{\circ}{g}_{22}; & \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{33} &= \overset{\circ}{\pi}^{22}\sin^{-2}\theta \\
\overset{\circ}{\chi} &= mt; & \overset{\circ}{\pi}_\chi &= na^3r^2\sin\theta(1+r^2)^{-1/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The asymptotic deviations of (8) from this background now read

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11} &\sim -2a^2(t)g(t)r^{-4}; & h_{22} &\sim a^2(t)\{2rf(t) + [f^2(t) + 2g(t)]\}; \\
p^{11} &\sim n_1(t)r; & p^{22} &\sim n_2(t)r^{-1}; \\
h_{33} &= \sin^2\theta h_{22}; & p^{33} &= p^{22}\sin^{-2}\theta; \\
q &\sim n_3(t)r^{-1}; & p_\chi &\sim n_4(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The action of the Killing vectors of the $k = -1$ FLRW spacetimes listed in

(19) and (20) yields the following general behavior,

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11} &\sim r^{-4}l_{11}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-5}) \\
h_{22} &\sim rl_{22}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^0) \\
h_{33} &\sim rl_{33}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^0) \\
h_{12} &\sim r^{-2}l_{12}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-3}) \\
h_{13} &\sim r^{-2}l_{13}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-3}) \\
h_{23} &\sim r^{-1}l_{23}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{11} &\sim r^2M^{11}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r) \\
p^{22} &\sim r^{-2}M^{22}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-3}) \\
p^{33} &\sim r^{-2}M^{33}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-3}) \\
p^{12} &\sim r^{-1}M^{12}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{13} &\sim r^{-1}M^{13}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p^{23} &\sim r^{-1}M^{23}(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-2}) \\
p_\chi &\sim M_\chi(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-1}) \\
q &\sim r^{-2}h_\chi(t, \theta, \phi) + O(r^{-3})
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where the last two behaviors come from the fact that u^i , according to (3), must be zero at infinity.

Using the same arguments as in the $k = 0$ case, we obtain that $N \approx 1 + O(r^{-2})$, $N^1 \approx O(r^{-1})$, $N^2 \approx O(r^{-4})$, $N^3 \approx O(r^{-4})$.

4 The Hamiltonians of Two Classes of Asymptotically FLRW Spacetimes

We are now in position of evaluating the surface integrals (6). In spherical coordinates, $d^2S_l = d\theta d\phi \delta_l^1$.

4.1 The $k = 0$ case

The unique terms on the integrand which may not vanish asymptotically are:

$$-S_T = -\overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2} \overset{\circ}{g}^{11} [\overset{\circ}{g}^{22} \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{12}^2 \delta g_{22} + \overset{\circ}{g}^{33} \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{13}^3 \delta g_{33} + \overset{\circ}{g}^{22} \delta g_{22;1} + \overset{\circ}{g}^{33} \delta g_{33;1}], \tag{28}$$

where the semicolon means the covariant derivative with respect to the background metric. However, as $\delta g_{22} \approx \delta g_{33} \approx O(r)$, and as $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{12}^2 = \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{13}^3 = r^{-1}$, the terms in the above expression cancel out at this order. Hence $S_T = 0$ for $k = 0$ and it is not necessary to supplement the hamiltonian with any surface term. It means that, on shell, $H = 0$.

4.2 The $k = -1$ case

The surface term (6) can now be calculated. The relevant terms are:

$$\begin{aligned}
-S_T = & -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_B d^2 S_l \overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2} \overset{\circ}{g}^{11} \overset{\circ}{g}^{22} [\delta g_{21,2} - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{22}^1 \delta g_{11} \\
& - (\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{12}^2 + \Delta \Gamma_{12}^2) (\delta g_{22} + \delta \overset{\circ}{g}^{22}) - \delta g_{22,1}] \\
& - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_B d^2 S_l \overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2} \overset{\circ}{g}^{33} \overset{\circ}{g}^{11} [\delta g_{31,3} - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{33}^1 \delta g^{11} \\
& - (\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{13}^3 + \Delta \Gamma_{13}^3) (\delta g_{33} + \delta \overset{\circ}{g}^{33}) - \delta g_{33,1}],
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $\Delta \Gamma_{12}^2 = 1/2(\overset{\circ}{g}^{22} h_{22,1} + h^{22} \overset{\circ}{g}_{22,1})$ and $\Delta \Gamma_{13}^3 = 1/2(\overset{\circ}{g}^{33} h_{33,1} + h^{33} \overset{\circ}{g}_{33,1})$ are the next leading order terms of $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{12}^2$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{13}^3$ after $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{12}^2$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{13}^3$, respectively. The divergent terms cancel out ($\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{12}^2 = \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{13}^3 = r^{-1}$) and this surface term is finite. The terms containing the deviations $\Delta \Gamma$ of the connections with respect to the background connection, which are of order r^{-2} , can be written as

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} \delta \int d^2 S_l \frac{1}{8} (h^{ij} h_{ij})_{;m} \overset{\circ}{g}^{ml} \overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2}. \tag{30}$$

This can be proved by using that, at leading order, h_{22} , δg_{22} , h_{33} , δg_{33} are of order r and hence $h_{22} \delta g_{22,1} = h_{22,1} \delta g_{22}$ and $h_{33} \delta g_{33,1} = h_{33,1} \delta g_{33}$. Then the total no null surface terms for this $k = -1$ case reads

$$-S_T = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \delta \int d^2 S_l \left[\overset{\circ}{G}^{ijkl} h_{ij;k} - \frac{1}{8} (h^{ij} h_{ij})_{;m} \overset{\circ}{g}^{ml} \overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2} \right] \tag{31}$$

The total hamiltonian with well defined functional derivatives is $H_T = H + E$, where

$$E = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^2 S_l [\overset{\circ}{G}^{ijkl} h_{ij;k} - \frac{1}{8} (h^{ij} h_{ij})_{;m} \overset{\circ}{g}^{ml} \overset{\circ}{g}^{1/2}]. \tag{32}$$

We would like to emphasize once again that the variations in (32) are for fixed background at infinity, which means that $\delta \overset{\circ}{g}_{ml} = 0$ at infinity. The surface term E is completely covariant under general spatial coordinate transformations and finite.

For the case of Tolman metrics, where the asymptotic deviations from FLRW are given in (26), the quantity E given in (32) is identically zero because of

cancellation of its terms. This happens due to the three conditions satisfied by the Tolman metric (8):

- i) The functions f and g in h_{11} and h_{22} depend only on time.
 - ii) The function $g(t)$ appearing in h_{11} is the same as the one appearing in the second term of h_{22} .
 - iii) $h_{33} = \sin^2\theta h_{22}$.
- If one of these conditions is not satisfied, the term E may not be null.

5 Conclusion

We have constructed consistent hamiltonians for some classes of asymptotically FLRW spacetimes.

In the case $k = 0$, there is no need to add surface terms to the usual hamiltonian of GR in order for the hamiltonian formalism be well defined.

In the case $k = -1$, a surface term must be present which is shown in Eq. (32). For Tolman geometries given in (8), this surface term is zero, although it should not be zero in more general cases.

If we interpret the value of the hamiltonian evaluated in a solution of Einstein's equations as the “energy” of this solution with respect to the FLRW spacetime they approach at infinity (its reference spacetime), which is not necessarily conserved because of the absence of timelike Killing vectors in such solutions, then we can say that any asymptotic FLRW spacetime with $k = 0$ and Tolman solutions with $k = -1$ have the same “energy” as their reference FLRW spacetimes.

Note that the terms involving the dust field degrees of freedom do not contribute to the surface terms in any case. The same must be true for other perfect fluids. A cosmological constant also does not contribute because it appears in the hamiltonian without derivative terms ($g^{1/2}\Lambda$).

One important consequence of these results is that if one wishes to study the midisuperspace quantization of geometries with asymptotic behavior given in (27), then the surface term (32) must be taken into account, yielding a non trivial Schrödinger equation besides the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. For the $k = 0$ case, the situation is the same as in midisuperspace quantization of closed geometries: the only relevant equation is the Wheeler-DeWitt equation as long as, in this case, there are also no surface terms.

It should be interesting to evaluate the surface term (32) for asymptotic FLRW spacetimes satisfying (27) which are different from Tolman geometries, and study the physical significance of this term in this geometry. This will be the subject of our further investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Cosmology Group of CBPF for useful discussions, and CNPq of Brazil for financial support.

References

- [1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, edited by L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962); B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113 (1967).
- [2] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, Ann. Phys. **88**, 286 (1974).
- [3] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Commun. Math. Phys. **98**, 391 (1985).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1985), p. 280; A. Einstein, Ann. Physik Ser. **4**, 769 (1916); C. Møller, Ann. Phys. **4**, 347 (1958); A. Komar, Phys. Rev. **113**, 934 (1959); J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Jr., Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 1407 (1993); L. P. Grishchuk, A. N. Petrov and A. D. Popova, Commun. Math. Phys. **94**, 379 (1984).
- [5] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. **B 195**, 76 (1982); N. Pinto-Neto e R. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 104002, (2000).
- [6] I. Damião Soares and N. Pinto-Neto, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 5665, (1995).
- [7] R. Tolman, Proc. Nac. Acad. Sci. **28**, 169 (1934).